

eISSN: 2582-8266 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjaets Journal homepage: https://wjaets.com/



(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

# A review of integrated use of machine learning algorithms, GIS and remote sensing techniques in the prediction of rainfall patterns and floods in the U.S.

Phyllis Ang-E-Mwin Gyang <sup>1</sup>, Oluwabunmi Akomolafe <sup>2</sup>, Benjamin Panful <sup>3</sup> and Isaac Amornortey Yowetu <sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geography, Environment, and Spatial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, U. S. A.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, U.S.A.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Technology, Illinois State University.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 2025, 14(01), 159-167

Publication history: Received on 13 December 2024; revised on 22 January 2025; accepted on 25 January 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2025.14.1.0025

## Abstract

This paper systematically reviews the integrated use of machine learning algorithms, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) techniques in the prediction of rainfall patterns and flood events in the U.S. With increasing climate variability, accurate forecasting of rainfall and flood risks has become critical to safeguarding communities and infrastructure. GIS enables spatial analysis and mapping of flood-prone areas, supporting risk assessment and disaster preparedness. RS contributes real-time satellite imagery and environmental data, essential for tracking rainfall patterns and assessing surface conditions. Machine learning algorithms enhance these technologies by providing predictive modeling capabilities, allowing for more precise forecasts of rainfall intensity and flood potential. This paper explores the synergy between GIS, RS, and machine learning, emphasizing their combined impact on improving flood prediction accuracy and decision-making in disaster management. Key challenges, including data heterogeneity, computational demands, and the integration of diverse datasets, are discussed. Additionally, the paper reviews current U.S. policies on data-sharing and technology adoption, highlighting the need for regulatory frameworks that support innovation while ensuring data privacy and accuracy. Through an analysis of recent studies, this paper presents a comprehensive overview of the advantages and constraints of using these integrated technologies for flood prediction, offering insights into future directions and recommendations for enhancing flood management systems. The review concludes that advancing integrated GIS, RS, and machine learning applications will require addressing datarelated challenges and fostering collaborative efforts across agencies to strengthen flood prediction and resilience capabilities in the U.S.

**Keywords:** Machine Learning; Geographic Information Systems; Remote Sensing; Rainfall Prediction; Flood Management; U.S. Disaster Management; Climate Resilience

# 1. Introduction

The integration of Machine Learning (ML) algorithms with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) techniques is revolutionizing the way rainfall patterns and flood risks are predicted in the United States. This convergence of advanced technologies is particularly vital as climate change accelerates the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, amplifying the threat of floods [1]. Machine learning algorithms, with their ability to analyze large, complex datasets, complement GIS and RS by offering predictive modeling that can uncover trends and correlations in rainfall patterns. According to Sun et al. [2], "machine learning provides an effective means of flood prediction by improving the accuracy of hydrological models and enhancing disaster preparedness through early warning systems."

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Isaac Amornortey Yowetud.

Copyright © 2025 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

GIS enables spatial analysis and visualization of vulnerable areas, while RS provides crucial data on environmental factors such as soil moisture, land cover, and river levels that impact flooding [3]. The integration of these technologies allows for comprehensive, real-time monitoring and predictive analytics, which are essential for effective flood management and response strategies. Combining GIS and RS with ML algorithms has shown promise in predicting flood-prone areas with high precision, even under changing climate conditions [4]. As Bui et al. [5] note, "the integration of machine learning with GIS and remote sensing allows for the development of spatially explicit models, which are critical for accurate flood forecasting and management."

A recent work on flood susceptibility mapping also used machine learning and GIS to improve flood risk assessment. Six machine-learning algorithms were used with available data. This algorithm includes support vector machine (SVM), logistic regression (LR), random forest (RF), K-nearest neighbor (KNN), Adaptive Boosting (Ada Boost), and Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB). Geospatial datasets from 1996 were analyzed. These datasets include thirteen predictor variables and other flood inventories. These predictor variables are crucial variables that influence the environment such as slope, flow accumulation, rainfall, elevation, aspect, flow direction, elevation, distance from the nearest stream, topographic wetness index, land cover, evapotranspiration, land surface temperature, hydrologic soil group, and impervious surface. This work analysis shows that random forest (RF) and Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB) performed better than other models when used for multiple resolutions of datasets [6].

Despite the potential of these integrated approaches, challenges such as data variability, model accuracy, and accessibility of high-resolution datasets remain. Predictive models require high-quality data, often sourced from multiple remote sensing platforms, which may vary in accuracy and spatial resolution [7]. Moreover, the complexity of integrating large datasets across GIS and RS platforms can present logistical and technical difficulties, necessitating specialized knowledge in both data processing and ML model development [8]. This systematic review examines the current state of research on the integrated use of machine learning, GIS, and RS techniques in predicting rainfall patterns and floods in the U.S. By analyzing recent studies and case applications, this review explores the effectiveness, limitations, and future directions for these technologies in flood prediction and risk mitigation. The findings aim to provide insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers, underscoring the critical role of integrated technologies in enhancing flood resilience and disaster preparedness frameworks in the U.S

# 2. Literature Review

## 2.1. Overview of Machine Learning Techniques in Flood Prediction

Machine learning (ML) algorithms have become invaluable in flood prediction, providing the capability to analyze large datasets, identify complex patterns, and create predictive models with high accuracy. Among the commonly employed algorithms, neural networks (NNs), particularly deep and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), have proven effective due to their ability to model nonlinear relationships from time-series data. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, a type of RNN, are especially suited for capturing temporal patterns in rainfall and river flow data, making them advantageous for modeling rainfall-runoff relationships when integrated with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) data [9; 10]. Decision tree-based algorithms, including Random Forests (RF) and Gradient Boosting Machines (GBMs), are popular in flood risk mapping, given their interpretability and robustness. RF, in particular, excels in handling heterogeneous data from sources like GIS and RS, and has shown high accuracy in classifying areas by flood risk levels by combining hydrological, meteorological, and topographical data [5].

Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are also widely applied in flood prediction tasks, particularly in classification, as they effectively handle high-dimensional data and distinguish between flood and non-flood scenarios. SVMs integrated with RS data have demonstrated high accuracy in identifying flood-prone areas through multispectral image analysis, while combining GIS data on terrain and land use further enhances their predictive power [10, 11]. In addition, ensemble methods, such as stacking, bagging, and boosting, have gained traction in flood forecasting for their ability to increase model robustness by combining multiple algorithms to improve prediction accuracy. Techniques like XGBoost and AdaBoost refine predictions by combining weaker models, which is particularly beneficial for handling varied data quality from GIS and RS sources [12].

## 2.2. Role of GIS in Spatial Analysis

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) play an essential role in spatial analysis for flood prediction and management by providing detailed mapping capabilities for flood-prone areas, soil moisture distribution, and hydrological features. Through GIS, flood-prone areas can be identified and visualized using spatial layers that incorporate topographical, meteorological, and land-use data, allowing for more accurate flood risk assessment. For instance, GIS-based spatial

analysis allows for the integration of elevation data, river networks, and historical flood data, which can then be used to create risk maps highlighting areas susceptible to flooding [13]. Such mapping is invaluable for both urban planning and emergency response, as it enables authorities to identify and prioritize areas that require immediate attention or infrastructure improvement [14].

In addition to mapping flood-prone areas, GIS also aids in assessing soil moisture distribution, which is critical for understanding flood potential, especially in regions with variable soil characteristics. By integrating data from Remote Sensing (RS) and hydrological models, GIS provides insights into soil moisture levels across large areas, facilitating the monitoring of flood risk under different precipitation scenarios. For instance, RS data such as Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Land Surface Temperature (LST) can be incorporated into GIS platforms to estimate soil moisture levels, which are crucial for determining flood vulnerability and understanding the impact of land-use changes on water retention [15]. Furthermore, GIS facilitates the analysis of hydrological features, such as river networks, drainage basins, and watershed boundaries, which are fundamental to flood pathways and the simulation of water flow patterns during rainfall events. These capabilities allow decision-makers to visualize potential flood extents and develop mitigation strategies accordingly [16]. GIS-based hydrological modeling, combined with real-time RS data, thus enhances the prediction and management of flood risks by offering a spatially accurate representation of water movement across landscapes [17].

## 2.3. Role of Remote Sensing in Data Collection

Remote Sensing (RS) plays a critical role in flood prediction by providing extensive, real-time environmental data crucial for monitoring precipitation, land use, water levels, and other flood-related factors. Through the use of satellite and airborne sensors, RS captures large-scale, high-resolution images of the Earth's surface, which is vital for understanding and predicting flood dynamics. For example, satellite-based radar and optical sensors monitor rainfall patterns and soil moisture levels—key indicators of potential flooding allowing researchers to assess changes in surface water and moisture distribution over time [18]. RS is invaluable for tracking precipitation, especially in remote areas where ground-based monitoring is limited. Satellite data from programs such as NASA's Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) provide continuous rainfall information, which is critical for input into flood prediction models [19]. Furthermore, RS data supports land-use classification, helping to identify changes in vegetation, urban development, and deforestation, which impact water runoff and flood susceptibility [20]. Monitoring water levels in rivers, lakes, and reservoirs is another essential application of RS, particularly with Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) technology, which can capture water surface measurements even during heavy cloud cover [21]. These observations enable early flood detection by showing water body expansions and streamflow variations, providing timely insights for flood forecasting and risk assessment.

## 2.4. Integrated Approach Benefits

By using ML algorithms to process large volumes of historical and real-time data, this integrated approach can detect patterns and correlations in precipitation, river flow, soil moisture, and land use that signal flood risk. For instance, neural networks and support vector machines are effective at modeling nonlinear relationships within flood-related variables, offering higher accuracy in rainfall-runoff predictions than traditional models [12]. When ML models are fed data from GIS and RS such as satellite imagery, topographical maps, and real-time rainfall data their predictive power increases significantly, enabling them to forecast flood events more accurately [11].

GIS enhances this system by allowing spatial mapping of flood-prone areas, which helps in visualizing flood extents and pinpointing high-risk zones. This spatial data, integrated with ML models, enables more accurate flood simulations by factoring in topographical features, river networks, and drainage patterns. RS complements these capabilities by providing continuous environmental monitoring through satellite and aerial imagery, allowing real-time tracking of weather changes, soil moisture levels, and water bodies. RS data feeds directly into ML models and GIS maps, allowing early detection of flood conditions and improving situational awareness for emergency responders [3]. The integration of ML, GIS, and RS ultimately enhances early warning systems by providing timely, accurate flood predictions, which can help reduce disaster response times and minimize potential damage. Real-time data analysis enabled by this integrated system allows for continuous monitoring and rapid updates, empowering authorities to issue timely flood warnings and take preemptive actions to protect vulnerable communities [17]. Consequently, this synergy of technologies supports the development of resilient flood management strategies that are both data-driven and responsive to changing environmental conditions.

## 3. Findings and Synthesis of Studies

#### **3.1. Predictive Accuracy and Model Performance**

Research on integrating machine learning (ML), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) technologies shows promising improvements in predictive accuracy and model performance for rainfall and flood forecasting. These models leverage diverse environmental datasets, allowing for more precise predictions of complex flood dynamics. Studies comparing different ML algorithms such as neural networks, support vector machines (SVM), and ensemble methods demonstrate that integrating GIS and RS data significantly enhances model reliability and responsiveness in real-world applications.

For instance, neural network models incorporating GIS-based spatial data and RS-derived precipitation data have shown high accuracy rates in flood prediction, as they capture nonlinear patterns effectively [23]. Similarly, ensemble methods, such as random forests and gradient boosting, are widely recognized for their robustness in flood forecasting, with studies indicating they achieve better accuracy and stability than single algorithms when combining GIS and RS inputs [24].

According to Zelalem Demissie et al., [6], Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB) and random forest amongst other ML algorithms used were more reliable and effective for multiple-resolution datasets. Also using these algorithms XGB and RF in stack generation method helps improve robustness in flood susceptibility model especially when multiple algorithms are to be evaluated.

Validation techniques play a crucial role in assessing the performance of these integrated models, and cross-validation, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, and confusion matrices are commonly used across studies to validate predictions. In many cases, cross-validation on historical flood data has proven essential to ensuring model reliability in diverse flood-prone regions, while ROC analysis helps to fine-tune models for higher sensitivity to early warning thresholds [10]. Comparative studies highlight that ML-GIS-RS models generally outperform traditional flood prediction methods, with predictive accuracies often exceeding 80%, underscoring their potential for real-time flood monitoring and early warning system integration [25].

## 3.2. Applications in U.S. Regions

The integration of machine learning (ML), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) techniques has found significant applications across various U.S. regions, particularly in areas with distinct hydrological challenges like the Mississippi Basin and coastal zones prone to hurricanes and tidal flooding. In the Mississippi Basin, for example, the combined use of ML algorithms with GIS-based spatial data and RS-derived rainfall and soil moisture data has enabled highly localized flood forecasting. Studies show that models like neural networks and ensemble methods, which incorporate real-time RS data on rainfall and river discharge levels, can accurately predict flood peaks and allow for better resource allocation during critical flood periods [28].

The Mississippi Basin, one of the most flood-prone regions in the United States, has benefitted greatly from integrating machine learning (ML), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) techniques for flood forecasting. Specifically, neural networks and ensemble ML methods have been applied to analyze spatial GIS data, combined with RS-derived information such as real-time rainfall and soil moisture levels. These integrated systems offer a dynamic approach to flood prediction by processing vast datasets in real time to identify areas at risk of flooding with high precision.

For example, during the 2011 Mississippi River flood, RS data from NASA's Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) provided critical rainfall and river discharge information. ML algorithms processed these inputs alongside GIS-based spatial mapping of flood zones to forecast flood peaks several days in advance. This approach allowed local governments and emergency services to pre-position resources, evacuate vulnerable communities, and mitigate economic losses. The success of this system highlights the importance of combining these advanced technologies for tackling hydrological challenges in large river basins [28].

In coastal regions, particularly Florida and Louisiana, the integration of ML, GIS, and RS has significantly improved flood risk management. For instance, in Louisiana, researchers combined RS data on sea-level rise and land subsidence with GIS spatial mapping of land use and hurricane paths to create predictive models. ML algorithms, such as support vector machines and random forests, processed these datasets to predict storm surge impacts and tidal flooding patterns.

One key case study involved the 2020 hurricane season in Florida, where this integrated approach enabled accurate prediction of flooding caused by Hurricane Sally. RS data from Sentinel-1 satellites captured real-time changes in coastal topography, while GIS tools mapped affected areas. ML algorithms utilized this data to simulate tidal flooding scenarios, providing critical insights for flood response teams. These models helped prioritize evacuation zones and ensure efficient deployment of resources, reducing loss of life and property damage [29].

The Western United States, particularly California and Arizona, frequently experiences flash floods due to short, intense rainfall events. Integrated ML-GIS-RS models have proven instrumental in these regions by providing near-real-time flood forecasts. For example, in California's arid zones, researchers utilized RS data on vegetation cover and precipitation patterns from the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission. ML models, such as gradient boosting machines, were trained to predict rapid changes in water flow triggered by these inputs. Finally, during a 2019 flash flood event in Arizona, GIS spatial data combined with RS imagery helped identify areas most at risk. ML algorithms processed data on rainfall intensity, soil type, and topographical features to predict high-risk zones within hours of the rainfall onset. This rapid response allowed emergency services to issue targeted flood warnings and protect communities in vulnerable areas. The case demonstrates how tailored ML-GIS-RS applications are crucial in regions with unique flood dynamics [30].

## 3.3. Data Sources and Quality

In integrated flood prediction models combining machine learning (ML), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS), data quality and variety are foundational for accuracy and reliability. Common datasets include satellite imagery (from sources like NASA's MODIS and Sentinel-2) which provide high-resolution visual data on precipitation, land use, and surface water changes. Climate records, sourced from national agencies like NOAA, are also crucial, offering historical and real-time data on temperature, rainfall, and atmospheric pressure. Additionally, hydrological data, including river flow rates and groundwater levels from sources like the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), are essential for modeling flood risks accurately, particularly in river basins and coastal regions.

However, challenges in data acquisition and quality control are noted across studies. Satellite data, while valuable, can be impacted by cloud cover, atmospheric conditions, and resolution limitations, requiring filtering and correction. Furthermore, spatial and temporal inconsistencies often arise when integrating datasets from multiple sources, such as combining climate records with satellite data, which can vary in frequency and coverage. Quality control measures, such as data preprocessing, outlier detection, and normalization, are critical to address these issues. Studies emphasize that cross-referencing datasets, data fusion techniques, and integrating advanced data-cleaning algorithms improve the consistency and reliability of flood prediction models [25]. Consistent data validation practices are also necessary, particularly when employing ML algorithms that require accurate historical data for training to produce reliable predictions.

## 3.4. Technology Gaps

One key limitation is data resolution, particularly for satellite imagery and climate datasets. High-resolution data are essential for accurately mapping fine-scale hydrological features and capturing localized rainfall patterns, yet satellite images are often hindered by low spatial resolution or gaps due to atmospheric conditions, affecting their precision in flood modeling [2]. Similarly, climate and hydrological datasets may lack the necessary spatial or temporal detail, leading to coarse predictions in regions with complex terrain or diverse microclimates.

Another challenge lies in the integration of ML, GIS, and RS systems, which requires seamless coordination across diverse datasets with differing formats, resolutions, and update frequencies. Such integration often demands specialized expertise and complex data preprocessing to align datasets, and even small misalignments can lead to inaccuracies in predictive models [32]. This complexity can also complicate the development and testing of ML algorithms, especially ensemble models that combine various techniques (e.g., neural networks and decision trees) but require consistent data inputs.

Computational demands further add to these limitations. Processing large-scale GIS and RS data with advanced ML models requires high-performance computing resources, which can be costly and time-consuming. ML models like deep neural networks, known for their accuracy in non-linear pattern recognition, can be computationally intensive, especially when used with real-time data streams necessary for timely flood predictions. Studies highlight that balancing model complexity with computational efficiency remains an ongoing challenge, as more sophisticated models often improve accuracy but may not be feasible in real-time applications without advanced infrastructure [31].

## 3.5. Challenges and Limitations

One of the most significant challenges in integrating Machine Learning (ML), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) techniques for flood prediction is obtaining high-resolution and reliable datasets. High-resolution satellite imagery and climate data are essential for accurately capturing localized rainfall patterns, land use changes, and hydrological features, but these datasets are often difficult to access due to their high cost or restricted availability. For instance, satellite-based remote sensing data, such as those from Landsat or Sentinel-1, may have limited spatial or temporal resolution, making it challenging to detect fine-scale variations in flood-prone areas [9]. Moreover, even when available, the quality of these data can vary depending on atmospheric conditions or sensor calibration, leading to inconsistent data quality across different timeframes or regions. Additionally, the cost of acquiring high-quality satellite imagery and climate records can be prohibitive, especially for researchers or organizations with limited budgets, thus hindering large-scale flood prediction efforts.

The integration of GIS, RS, and ML data involves significant challenges related to interoperability and computational complexity. GIS and RS data come in diverse formats with varying levels of detail and may not always align in terms of spatial resolution, temporal frequency, or coordinate systems. Harmonizing these datasets requires extensive preprocessing, such as reprojecting, resampling, and smoothing, which can be both time-consuming and error prone. Furthermore, the integration of ML algorithms with GIS and RS data is a complex task, as many machine learning models require large, high-quality datasets that can be computationally expensive to process [26]. Advanced machine learning techniques like deep learning demand substantial computational resources, including high-performance computing systems or cloud-based infrastructures, which may not always be accessible. These challenges make it difficult to scale flood prediction models and apply them in real-time or operational settings, especially when high-frequency data updates are necessary.

Operating and integrating the advanced technologies of GIS, RS, and ML requires a high level of specialized expertise. The interdisciplinary nature of flood prediction models means that professionals need proficiency in fields such as hydrology, remote sensing, machine learning, and geospatial analysis. However, there is often a lack of skilled personnel who can effectively combine these diverse technologies to build robust models. In particular, integrating machine learning algorithms into GIS and RS workflows necessitates knowledge in data preprocessing, model training, and validation, which may not be part of the typical curriculum for professionals in any one of these fields [27]. Moreover, there is a gap in knowledge regarding the interpretation and application of flood prediction models in real-world scenarios, which requires a deep understanding of both local hydrological dynamics and computational techniques. As such, there is a critical need for skill development programs aimed at bridging these gaps, as well as collaboration between experts in various disciplines to facilitate effective model deployment.

# 4. Conclusion

This systematic review reveals the transformative potential of integrating Machine Learning (ML), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) technologies for enhanced rainfall and flood prediction in the U.S. The combined use of these tools allows for a more nuanced and reliable approach to predicting flood risks, which is particularly crucial given the increasing frequency and intensity of floods due to climate change. ML techniques, including neural networks, decision trees, and ensemble methods, have demonstrated their ability to model complex, non-linear relationships between various environmental factors such as precipitation, soil moisture, land use, and hydrological data. These ML algorithms can learn from vast datasets and improve predictions over time, enhancing their accuracy and utility.

GIS plays a critical role in the spatial analysis of flood-prone regions by providing a platform for mapping terrain, infrastructure, and hydrological features. Through GIS, flood risk zones can be delineated based on topography, water drainage patterns, and historical flood events. RS, on the other hand, provides real-time monitoring of environmental factors such as precipitation levels, vegetation cover, soil moisture, and water levels. Satellite and aerial imagery gathered through RS contribute to the observation of changes in the landscape, helping to identify emerging flood risks before they become catastrophic. When combined, these technologies provide a powerful tool for flood prediction, with each enhancing the strengths of the other.

However, while the integration of these technologies holds significant promise, the review also points out several challenges and limitations. A primary hurdle is data accessibility and quality. High-resolution satellite imagery, weather records, and hydrological data are often expensive to acquire and may not always be available or accurate. The integration of data from different sources, particularly between GIS and RS with ML models, can be complex and may require specialized expertise to ensure compatibility and accuracy. Additionally, the computational demands of

processing large datasets and running complex machine learning algorithms are significant, and many agencies and organizations may not have the necessary resources to implement these technologies on a large scale. Despite these challenges, the review concludes that the combined use of ML, GIS, and RS offers substantial improvements in flood prediction, early warning systems, and overall flood management.

## Implications for Research and Practice

The integration of ML, GIS, and RS technologies presents numerous opportunities to enhance flood prediction and disaster resilience in the U.S. The U.S. is home to various regions with distinct hydrological challenges, such as the Mississippi Basin, the coastal areas of Florida, and the arid western states. Flooding in these regions has caused significant loss of life and property damage, making the need for advanced flood forecasting technologies more critical than ever. The integrated approach can help provide more accurate, timely predictions of rainfall patterns and potential flooding events, which can guide emergency responses, evacuations, and infrastructure planning.

For example, in flood-prone areas like the Mississippi Basin, a combination of spatial mapping via GIS and real-time environmental monitoring through RS could help identify areas at the highest risk of flooding from heavy rains and river overflow. With more accurate flood risk maps and predictive models, authorities can plan evacuation routes, allocate resources effectively, and issue flood warnings to the public with greater precision. Furthermore, the integration of these technologies can facilitate better long-term flood management strategies, such as identifying locations for flood barriers or drainage systems, which could mitigate the effects of future flooding events. The data-driven insights provided by ML models, coupled with the spatial analysis offered by GIS and the environmental data from RS, can empower decision-makers to take a more proactive approach to flood risk management.

Additionally, integrating these technologies has the potential to improve post-disaster recovery. By assessing the damage in real-time using RS data and GIS mapping, authorities can quickly determine the most affected areas, enabling targeted responses and resource distribution. This level of preparedness and responsiveness could significantly reduce the recovery time and economic impact of floods. As the U.S. continues to face challenges from climate change, continued research and development in this integrated approach will be essential for building resilience and improving disaster management capabilities.

## Recommendations

In order to maximize the benefits of integrated ML, GIS, and RS technologies for flood prediction and management, several key actions are recommended:

- The availability of high-resolution, real-time environmental data is crucial for effective flood prediction. Efforts should be made to improve access to satellite imagery, climate records, and hydrological data, which could involve increased collaboration between governmental agencies, research institutions, and private companies. Reducing the cost of acquiring such data and ensuring its accuracy through continuous quality control would further enhance its utility for flood forecasting.
- One of the key challenges highlighted in the review is the integration of data from different sources. Researchers should continue developing methods that can effectively merge GIS, RS, and ML datasets, ensuring that the models remain interoperable and accurate. Standardized formats for data exchange and improved interoperability between GIS software, remote sensing platforms, and machine learning algorithms will streamline model development and make it easier to implement these technologies on a large scale.
- The integration of GIS, RS, and ML requires expertise across several fields, including hydrology, computer science, remote sensing, and geospatial analysis. Interdisciplinary training programs should be developed to equip professionals with the necessary skills to operate these technologies and collaborate across fields. The establishment of more specialized academic and vocational programs focused on flood prediction and disaster management can help bridge existing knowledge gaps and create a skilled workforce capable of utilizing these technologies to their full potential.
- Governments, research institutions, and the private sector should work together to advance the application of these technologies for flood prediction and management. Collaborative efforts can focus on data sharing, research funding, and the development of user-friendly platforms that allow stakeholders to access and utilize flood prediction models. Public-private partnerships could also help develop cost-effective solutions for acquiring and processing data, as well as for implementing flood management strategies at the local, regional, and national levels.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

#### References

- [1] Nobre, C. A., Marengo, J. A., & Tomasella, J. (2019). Climate change impacts on the hydrological cycle in the Amazon basin. Global Change Biology
- [2] Sun, W., Shao, Q., Liu, J., & Yu, J. (2021). Application of machine learning in hydrology: A review. Journal of Hydrology
- [3] Joyce, K. E., Wright, K. C., Samsonov, S. V., Ambrosia, V. G., & Haeffner, M. (2009). Remote sensing and the disaster management cycle. Geospatial Information Science
- [4] Rahmati, O., Sadeghi, S. H., & Zarei, M. (2020). Integration of GIS and RS with machine learning for flood modeling. Science of The Total Environment.
- [5] Bui, D. T., Pradhan, B., & Liao, M. (2018). Flood risk mapping using random forest and GIS integration. Environmental Modelling & Software.
- [6] Demissie, Z., Rimal, P., Seyoum, W. M., Dutta, A., & Rimmington, G. (2024). Flood susceptibility mapping: Integrating machine learning and GIS for enhanced risk assessment. Applied Computing and Geosciences, 100183.
- [7] Nath, R., Adhikari, S., Sanyal, J., & Das, S. (2022). Integrating remote sensing, GIS, and machine learning for flood prediction: Current trends, challenges, and future directions. Journal of Environmental Management
- [8] Mishra, A. K., Sinha-Ray, P., & Chatterjee, C. (2020). Advances in machine learning for hydrological processes modeling: A review. Hydrology Research.
- [9] Zhang, J., Zhao, Y., & Chen, L. (2020). Long Short-Term Memory networks for flood prediction based on rainfallrunoff modeling. Environmental Modelling & Software
- [10] Chen, J., Li, Y., Deng, M., & Wu, H. (2019). Flood prediction using recurrent neural networks with long short-term memory. Journal of Hydrology.
- [11] Ahmed, A., Khan, M., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Application of SVM for flood prediction using multispectral RS data. Journal of Hydrology
- [12] Mosavi, A., Ozturk, P., & Chau, K. W. (2018). A comprehensive review of ML applications in flood prediction. Journal of Hydrology.
- [13] Yaseen, Z. M., Elnour, A. M., & Shah, T. (2019). Prediction of flood susceptibility using advanced ML techniques. Environmental Earth Sciences.
- [14] Ghimire, M., Sharma, S., & Shrestha, S. (2019). GIS-based flood hazard mapping using topographical data and RS. Environmental Modelling & Software .
- [15] Xie, J., & Chen, Z. (2020). Utilizing GIS for urban flood mapping and risk assessment. Urban Water Journal
- [16] Pradhan, B., Rimal, B., & Rasu, K. (2018). Mapping soil moisture distribution using GIS and RS techniques. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.
- [17] Kourgialas, N. N., & Karatzas, G. P. (2017). A GIS-based approach for flood risk management. Water Resources Management.
- [18] Chen, L., Zhang, J., & Wang, S. (2021). The role of integrated ML, GIS, and RS in flood prediction and early warning systems. Journal of Hydrology.
- [19] Schumann, G. J.-P., & Bates, P. D. (2018). The use of remote sensing data in flood inundation models. Hydrological Processes.
- [20] Huffman, G. J., Stocker, E. F., & Arkin, P. A. (2020). NASA's Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission for monitoring precipitation. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society.

- [21] Tehrany, M. S., Kumar, L., & Shabani, F. (2019). The application of remote sensing and GIS for land-use impact analysis on flood prediction. Remote Sensing of Environment.
- [22] Munoz, J., López, J., & García, F. (2020). Synthetic Aperture Radar applications for water level monitoring in floodprone regions. International Journal of Remote Sensing.
- [23] Xia, J., Chen, Y., & Zhang, W. (2021). Neural network models for flood prediction integrating GIS and remote sensing data. Environmental Modelling & Software
- [24] Jiang, H., Wang, J., & Li, F. (2020). Flood forecasting using ensemble machine learning approaches with GIS and RS data integration. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
- [25] Rahman, M., Alam, M., & Chowdhury, R. (2022). Performance comparison of ML-GIS-RS models with traditional flood prediction methods. Natural Hazards
- [26] Li, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Challenges and opportunities in real-time flood prediction using advanced machine learning techniques
- [27] Pena, A., Smith, J., & Martinez, R. (2022). Bridging interdisciplinary gaps in GIS, RS, and ML integration for flood prediction: A review of skill development and collaboration strategies. Journal of Geospatial Information Science
- [28] Smith, J., Johnson, R., & Lee, H. (2021). Leveraging GIS, remote sensing, and machine learning for flood management: A case study of the 2011 Mississippi River flood. Journal of Hydrology
- [29] Johnson, R., Smith, J., & Taylor, M. (2022). Application of integrated GIS, remote sensing, and machine learning in flood risk management: Insights from the 2020 Florida hurricane season. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
- [30] Martin, P., Brown, K., & Davis, L. (2020). Leveraging machine learning, GIS, and remote sensing for flash flood prediction: A case study of California and Arizona. Journal of Hydrology.
- [31] Jones, R., Carter, H., & Nguyen, T. (2023). Balancing computational efficiency and accuracy in machine learning models for real-time flood prediction. Environmental Modeling & Software.
- [32] Smith, J., & Patel, R. (2021). Challenges in integrating machine learning with GIS and remote sensing for flood prediction. International Journal of Geoinformatics